Application No.

Applicant(s)

Interview Summary

09/432,944 Examiner

Group Art Unit

Mazurek et al.

Ms. Arti R. Singh

1771



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Ms. Arti R. Singh</u> (3)
(2) Ms. Arlene Hornilla (4)
Date of Interview 17 Jul 2001
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-11, 13-15, and 32-43
Identification of prior art discussed:
Agreement with respect to the claims fì⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicant will file a CPA and structurally define the claims witht he physical limitations of height, width and/ or breath of
the microscopic features.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is